# 1-Thiacyclooct-4-yne  $(= 5, 6$ -Didehydro-3,4,7,8-tetrahydro-2H-thiocin), and Its Sulfoxide and Its Sulfone

### by Hans Schuhmacher, Bernhard Beile, and Herbert Meier\*

Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Mainz, Duesbergweg 10 – 14, D-55099 Mainz (phone: +49-6131-3922605; fax: +49-6131-3925396; e-mail: hmeier@uni-mainz.de)

1-Thiacyclooct-4-yne  $(= 5.6$ -didehydro-3,4,7,8-tetrahydro-2H-thiocin; 9) can be prepared from thiocan-5-one (6) in three steps by applying the so-called selenadiazole method. The heterocyclic alkyne can be oxidized to the corresponding sulfoxide 16 and sulfone 17. Due to their geometrical strain, all three cyclic alkynes show high reactivities in *Diels-Alder* and 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions. Moreover, tetrathiafulvalenes can be prepared from 9 and 16 by the reaction with  $CS_2$ .

Introduction. – Due to their high reactivity in addition and cycloaddition reactions, strained cycloalkynes have a high actuality in click processes and bioorthogonal reactions  $[1-14]$ . In the previous five years, many studies of azacyclooct-5-ynes  $(1)$ appeared [8] [11] [15 – 42].

To the best of our knowledge, only one example exists for 1-oxacyclooct-4-ynes (2) and one for 1-thiacyclooct-4-ynes 3, namely the tricyclic lactone 4 [42] and the bicyclic sulfone 5 [43], respectively. This prompted us to report our results on the parent compound 3.



Results and Discussion. – A convenient and high-yield preparation of strained cyclic alkynes is based on the fragmentation of 1,2,3-selenadiazoles [44]. We used the conversion of thiocanone 6 to the 1,2,3-selenadiazole 8 via the semicarbazone 7 [45]. Thermolysis of 8 on Cu powder gave the desired 1-thiacyclooct-4-yne  $(= 5.6-1)$ didehydro-3,4,7,8-tetrahydro-2H-thiocin; **9**) in almost quantitative yields (*Scheme 1*).

The 1,2,3-selenadiazole ring is sensitive towards oxidation. Therefore, we tried to oxidize its precursor, the thiocanone 6 with NaIO<sub>4</sub> to the sulfoxide 10 and with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to the sulfone 11. The corresponding 1,2,3-selenadiazoles 14 and 15 were then obtained via the semicarbazones 12 and 13, respectively. However, the thermal fragmentation of 14 and 15 failed. The volatility of the desired products is not high enough, so that decompositions occurred in the hot zone.

<sup>© 2013</sup> Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

Scheme 1. Preparation of 5-Thiacyclooctyne (9), and Its Sulfoxide (16) and Its Sulfone (17)



i) H<sub>2</sub>NNHCONH<sub>2</sub>, EtOH, AcONa. ii) SeO<sub>2</sub>, aqueous dioxane. iii) Cu, 165 – 175°, 100 Pa. iv) NaIO<sub>4</sub>, 0°.

Therefore, we tried the alkaline fragmentation of the 1,2,3-selenadiazole 14 with BuLi. The reaction led to a mixture of several Se-containing products. Selenio sulfoxide 18 was the major component, and the desired alkyne 16 was a minor product, in an unsatisfying yield (Scheme 2).



Finally, we realized that the stability of 1-thiacyclooct-4-yne (9) is sufficient for the oxidation to its sulfoxide 16 and its sulfone 17. A fourfold excess of  $NaIO<sub>4</sub>$  yielded 80% of the oxidation-productmixture  $16/17$  in a 43:37 ratio (*Scheme 1*). A higher excess of  $NaIO<sub>4</sub>$  increased the portion of the sulfone 17, but reduced the overall yield.

One- and two-dimensional NMR experiments were performed for the characterization of the new alkynes. A  $^1H$ ,<sup>1</sup>H-shift-correlated NMR spectrum of rac-16 is depicted in the Figure. The COSY 45 technique is suitable to distinguish between the geminal and vicinal couplings [46]. A control for the assignment of geminal H-atoms was achieved by a HSQC measurement.



Figure.  $H$ ,<sup>1</sup>H-COSY-45-NMR Spectrum of rac-16. The cross-peaks, which are characterized by a positive slope, correspond to vicinal couplings, the cross-peaks marked with a negative slope correspond to geminal couplings.

The  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  chemical shifts of the alkynes **9**, **16**, and **17** are compiled in the *Table*. An easy anchor point for the interpretation of the NMR spectra is always  $CH<sub>2</sub>(3)$ with two adjacent CH<sub>2</sub> groups, CH<sub>2</sub>(2) and CH<sub>2</sub>(4). Due to the geometrical strain, the sp-atoms C(5) and C(6) exhibit signals with relatively high  $\delta$  values. Their difference  $\Delta\delta$  is small, which is in accordance with the small polarization of the C=C bonds and the small regioselectivity of certain cycloadditions shown below.

The strain energies of the eight-membered rings of 9, 16, and 17 should be comparable to that of cyclooctyne [44]. Hence, a high reactivity of 9, 16, and 17 in cycloaddition reactions can be expected. We studied first the reactions with 2,3,4,5 tetraphenylcyclopenta-2,4-dienone (19) at room temperature (Scheme 3). The Diels-

Table. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Data of the Heterocyclic Alkynes **9, 16**, and **17**.  $\delta$  in ppm relative to  $Me<sub>4</sub>Si$  as internal standard, J in Hz. The atom numbering corresponds to the 2H-thiocin nomenclature.





#### Scheme 3



Alder reactions with subsequent aromatization according to the Alder–Rickert rule furnished the cycloadducts 20, 21, and 22, respectively, in reasonable-to-good yields.

From a synthetic point of view, the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition, another  $[2\pi + 4\pi]$ cycloaddition, of  $8, 16$ , and  $17$  with  $CH_2N_2$  is more attractive. The tautomeric pyrazoles 23 – 28 were obtained (*Scheme 4*). The regioselectivities  $23/24$ ,  $25/26$ , and  $27/28$  change from  $3:2$  for the reaction of 9 to 1:3 for the reaction of the sulfone 17. NOE Experiments served for the distinction between the isomeric pyrazoles. Irradiation into the signal of H–C(3) led to a positive NOE for  $\text{CH}_2(4)$ , which is a part of the ethylene 'chain' in  $23, 25$ , and  $27$ , whereas it is a part of the trimethylene 'chain' in  $24, 26$ , and  $28$ .

Finally, we studied the behavior of the alkynes toward  $CS_2$  (Scheme 5). Alkyne 9 furnished, after 12 d in boiling  $CS_2$ , tetrathiafulvalene 29 in low yield. This reaction type is restricted to strained cycloalkynes and to electron-deficient linear alkynes [47] [48]. A second reaction product of 9 (obtained in a yield of 16%) had the formula  $C_{23}H_{30}S_7$ , which corresponds to a 3:2-adduct of 9 and CS<sub>2</sub>. Sulfoxide 16 gave 41% of the tetrathiafulvalene 30.  $(Z/E)$ -Isomers have to be considered for 29 and 30. It is known that the separation of  $(Z/E)$ -isomeric tetrathiafulvalenes is extremely difficult – even a spectroscopic identification of these isomers is challenging [49]. We found a single set of <sup>13</sup>C-NMR signals among which the broad signal at  $\delta$ (C) 108.2 looked like two superimposed signals for  $C(2)$  of 29. All other <sup>13</sup>C-NMR signals of 29 did not show a



splitting. Apart from the  $(Z/E)$ -isomerism, 30 exhibits a stereoisomerism owing to the sulfoxide functionalities. Compounds  $syn(E)$ -30 and anti- $(Z)$ -30 are chiral, and anti- $(E)$ -30 and syn- $(Z)$ -30 are *meso*-forms. Chiral tetrathiafulvalenes have recently gained a high actuality [50] [51]. Most of the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR signals of 30 are split into pairs of equal intensity. This corresponds to a syn-30/anti-30 ratio of 1:1. The resonance region at  $\delta$ (C) 47.3 – 47.7 for one of the C-atoms neighboring to the SO group is even split into four signals (syn- $(Z)$ , anti- $(Z)$ , syn- $(E)$ , and anti- $(E)$ ; see Exper. Part). The other Catom neighboring to the SO group gives two signals of 52.6 ppm. Sulfone 17 did not show an addition of  $CS_2$  with subsequent tetrathiafulvalene generation.

**Conclusions.** – In principle, three isomeric thiacyclooctynes ( $=$ didehydrotetrahydro-2H-thiocins), and their sulfoxides and sulfones should exist. 1-Thiacyclooct-2 yne and -3-yne were synthesized much earlier; here we report the preparation of 1thiacyclooct-4-yne (9) by applying the selenadiazole method for the formation of the C=C bond. Oxidation of 9 with NaIO<sub>4</sub> generated the sulfoxide 16 and the sulfone 17.

$$
(H_2C)_{n} \times (CH_2)_{5-n}
$$
\n
$$
(H_2C)_{n} \times (CH_2)_{5-n}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c|cc}\n & \text{M} & S & SO & SO_2 \\
\hline\n0 & 52 & - & - \\
1 & 52 & - & - \\
2 & 9 & \text{rac-16} & 17\n\end{array}
$$

Other sulfoxides or sulfones  $(n = 0, 1)$  of thiacyclooctynes are not known. All three heterocyclic alkynes, 9, 16, and 17, have high reactivities as  $2\pi$  components toward  $4\pi$ components in *Diels-Alder* reactions and 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions. Moreover, the tetrathiafulvalenes 29 and 30 could be obtained from 9 and 16 by the reaction with  $CS<sub>2</sub>$ . The new cyclic alkynes 9, 16, and 17 are stimulating candidates for click reactions and should be also interesting species for applications in bioorthogonal processes.

We are grateful to the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* and the *Fonds der Chemischen Industrie* for financial support.

#### Experimental Part

General. 4,7,8,9-Tetrahydro-5H-thiocino[5,4-d] [1,2,3]selenadiazole (8) was prepared as described in [45] from 5-thiocanone (6) via semicarbazone 7. M.p.: Büchi melting-point apparatus. IR: Beckman Acculab 4;  $\bar{v}$  in cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra: AM-400 spectrometer from *Bruker*; CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent and Me<sub>4</sub>Si as internal standard;  $\delta$  in ppm and J in Hz. EI-MS: *Finnigan-MAT-95* spectrometer, 70-eV ionization energy; in  $m/z$  (rel. %).

Thermal Fragmentation of 1,2,3-Selenadiazole 8. To 2.0 g (31.5 mmol) of Cu powder, 467 mg  $(2.0 \text{ mmol})$  of 8 dissolved in 2 ml of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added. The solvent was removed, and the residue was heated under reduced pressure of 100 Pa to  $170 \pm 5^{\circ}$ . 5-Thiacyclooctyne (5,6-didehydro-3,4,7,8tetrahydro-2H-thiocin; 9) distilled off as a colorless oil. Yield 235 mg  $(93\%)$ . IR  $(CDCI_3)$ : 2240 (C $\equiv$ C). NMR: see the *Table*. EI-MS: 126 (62, M<sup>+</sup>), 111 (51), 98 (52), 97 (100). According to the NMR spectra, the product was pure, but, due to the volatility, a correct combustion analysis could not be conducted.

*Thiocan-5-one 1-Oxide* (=  $1$ -Oxo-1 $\lambda$ <sup>4</sup>-thiocan-5-one) (10). The preparation was performed according to a modified procedure described by *Leonard* and *Johnson* [54]. Thiocanone **8** (1.00 g, 6.93 mmol) was dissolved in 10 ml of MeOH and added at  $0^{\circ}$  within 20 min to a soln. of 1.58 g (7.39 mmol) of NaIO<sub>4</sub> in 10 ml of H<sub>2</sub>O. The product was isolated by extraction with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The hygroscopic compound melted at  $105^{\circ}$  (m.p. 91 – 92 $^{\circ}$  [54]) and was analytically pure. Yield 1.05 g (95%). IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1030 (S=O), 1695 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.17 – 3.08 (ddd, <sup>2</sup>J = 14.4, <sup>3</sup>J = 8.8, <sup>3</sup>J = 2.6, 1 H each, CH<sub>2</sub>(2,8)); 2.73 – 2.57  $(m, 1$  H each, CH<sub>2</sub>(2,4,6,8)); 2.43 – 2.28  $(m, 1$  H each, CH<sub>2</sub>(3,4,6,7)); 2.15 – 2.04  $(m, 1$  H each, CH<sub>2</sub>(3,7)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 213.4 (C(5)); 51.1 (C(2,8)), 40.5 (C(4,6)); 18.1 (C(3,7)). EI-MS: 160 (7, M<sup>+</sup>), 118 (24), 90 (100). Anal. calc. for  $C_7H_{12}O_2S$  (160.2): C 52.47, H 7.55; found: C 52.58, H 7.57.

*Thiocan-5-one 1,1-Dioxide* (=1,1-Dioxo-1 $\lambda^6$ -thiocan-5-one) (11). The preparation was conducted according to a modified procedure described by *Leonard et al.* [54] [55]. Thiocanone 8 (1.00 g, 6.93 mmol) dissolved in 20 ml of acetone was treated with  $H_2O_2$  (30%, 1.40 g, 73.6 mmol) at r.t. The reaction was monitored by TLC  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)$ . At the end, the volatile parts were removed and the residue was recrystallized from EtOH. Yield 904 mg (74%). M.p. 106° ([55]: 124-127°). IR (KBr): 1690  $(C=O)$ , 1325  $(SO_2)$ , 1125  $(SO_2)$ . <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $(CDCl_3)$ : 3.15 – 3.08  $(m, 4$  H,  $CH_2(2,8))$ ; 2.66 – 2.60  $(m, 4$  H,  $CH<sub>2</sub>(4,6))$ ; 2.33 – 2.24 (m, 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>(3,7)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 212.8 (C(5)); 54.8 (C(2,8)); 39.8 (C(4,6)); 19.9 (C(3,7)). EI-MS: 176 (8,  $M^+$ ), 70 (56), 55 42), 42 (100). The compound was identical with an authentic sample [54] [55].

rac-2-(1-Oxidothiocan-5-ylidene)hydrazinecarboxamide (rac-12). Semicarbazide hydrochloride (950 mg, 8.5 mmol) and AcONa (1.08 g, 13.2 mmol) were briefly refluxed in 20 ml of dry EtOH. The hot filtrate was added to 10 (1.12 g, 7.0 mmol) dissolved in 5 ml of dry EtOH. After 2 h, the mixture was cooled to  $0^\circ$ . A crystalline precipitate was formed which was recrystallized from MeOH. Yield: 1.25 g  $(82\%)$ . Colorless, fine crystals. M.p. 138°. IR (KBr): 1660 (C=O), 1010 (S = O). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR ((D<sub>6</sub>)DMSO): 9.12 (s, NH); 6.26 (s, NH<sub>2</sub>); 3.10 – 3.01 (m, 1 H); 2.95 – 2.83 (m, 2 H); 2.63 – 2.52 (m, 2 H); 2.35 – 2.27 (m, 1 H); 2.27 – 2.08  $(m, 3 H)$ ; 2.06 – 1.95  $(m, 2 H)$ ; 1.92 – 1.81  $(m, 1 H)$ . <sup>13</sup>C-NMR ( $(D_6)$ DMSO): 157.1 (CO);  $150.2 (C(5))$ ; 51.3, 50.6  $(C(2,8))$ ; 35.6  $(C(6))$ ; 26.4  $(C(4))$ ; 18.9, 16.4  $(C(3,7))$ . EI-MS: 217 (91, M<sup>+</sup>), 166 (56), 160 (100). Anal. calc. for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S (217.3): S 44.22, H 6.69, N 19.34; found: C 44.16, H 6.98, N 19.29.

 $2-(1,1-Dioxidothio can-5-ylidene)$ hydrazinecarboxamide (13). The preparation was performed as described for 12. Ketone 11 (2.33 g, 13.2 mmol) yielded 2.46 g (80%) of 13. Colorless crystals. M.p.  $184^{\circ}$ (MeOH). IR (KBr): 1680 (C=O), 1110 (SO<sub>2</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR ((D<sub>6</sub>)DMSO): 9.10 (s, NH); 6.30 (s, NH<sub>2</sub>);  $3.22 - 3.14$  (m, 2 H);  $3.05 - 2.96$  (m, 2 H);  $2.54 - 2.45$  (m, 2 H);  $2.38 - 2.29$  (m, 2 H);  $2.08 - 1.95$  (m, 4 H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR ((D<sub>6</sub>)DMSO): 157.2 (CO); 149.7 (C(5)); 53.6, 53.1 (C(2,8)); 35.5, 25.8 (C(4,6)); 20.8, 17.6  $(C(3,7))$ . EI-MS: 233  $(8, M<sup>+</sup>)$ , 97  $(24)$ , 83  $(37)$ , 67  $(30)$ , 44  $(43)$ , 41  $(100)$ . Anal. calc. for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S (233.3): C 41.19, H 6.48, N 18.01; found: C 41.08, H 6.49, N 17.97.

rac-4,7,8,9-Tetrahydro-5H-thiocino[5,4-d] [1,2,3]selenadiazole 6-Oxide (rac-14). To semicarbazone 12 (870 mg, 4.0 mmol) in 55 ml of dioxane, SeO<sub>2</sub> (0.89 g, 8.0 mmol) and 0.25 ml of H<sub>2</sub>O were added. The reaction in the dark was monitored by TLC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, toluene). After ca. 2 d, when the formation of gas  $(CO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>)$  was completed, the mixture was filtered and concentrated to *ca*. 3 ml. The remaining red oil was purified by column chromatography CC (SiO<sub>2</sub> ( $2 \times 50$  cm); toluene/AcOEt 10:1). Yield: 510 mg  $(51\%)$ . Beige crystals. M.p. 107 – 108° (dec.). IR (KBr): 1300, 1275, 1025 (S = O), 1000, 990, 780.  ${}^{1}H\text{-NMR}$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.78 – 3.69 (*m*, 1 H of CH<sub>2</sub>(4)); 3.43 – 3.35 (*m*, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)); 3.35 – 3.29 (*m*, 1 H,  $CH<sub>2</sub>(9)$ ; 3.29 – 3.25 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>(9)); 3.25 – 3.20 (m, 1 H of CH<sub>2</sub>(5)); 3.09 – 3.03 (m, 1 H of CH<sub>2</sub>(7));  $3.03 - 2.96$  (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>(5));  $2.50 - 2.41$  (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>(7));  $2.41 - 2.31$  (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>(8));  $2.31 - 2.20$  (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>(8)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 159.3 (C(9a)); 157.0 (C(3a)); 53.6, 48.1 (C(5,7)); 25.2, 23.6, 17.7  $(C(4,8,9))$ . EI-MS: 205 (100,  $[M - C_3H_8]^+$ ), 166 (38), 143 (11,  $[M - N_2 - S e]^+$ ), 124 (72), 91 (52). Anal. calc. for  $C_7H_{10}N_2OSs$ e (249.2): C 33.74, H 4.04, N 11.24; found: C 33.66, H 4.00, N 11.27.

4,7,8,9-Tetrahydro-5H-thiocino[5,4-d] [1,2,3]selenadiazole 6,6-Dioxide (15). The preparation was performed as described for 14. Semicarbazone 13 (0.93 g, 4.0 mmol) yielded 920 mg (87%) of 15. Yellow crystals. M.p. 163°. IR (KBr): 1270 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 1165, 1110 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 795, 680. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.60–3.54 (*m*, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)); 3.47 – 3.42 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>(9)); 3.33 – 3.27 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>(5)); 2.89 – 2.83 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>(7)); 2.19 – 2.27 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>(8)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 159.2, 156.1 (C(3a,9a)); 58.6, 53.3 (C(5,7)); 23.9, 22.9, 21.0 (C(4,8,9)). EI-MS:  $186 (1, [M - \text{Se}]^+), 119 (39), 92 (39), 91 (100).$  Anal. calc. for  $\text{C}_7\text{H}_{10}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$ SSe: C 31.70, H 3.80, N 10.56; found: C 31.78, H 3.61, N 10.58.

Oxidation of 4,5-Didehydro-3,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2H-thiocine (9). Alkyne 9 (100 mg, 0.79 mmol) was dissolved in 4 ml of MeOH and cooled to  $0^\circ$ . NaIO<sub>4</sub> (712 mg, 3.32 mmol) in 4 ml of H<sub>2</sub>O was added, and the mixture was stirred overnight at  $0^\circ$ . Further 6 ml of MeOH were added, and the mixture was cooled to  $-20^{\circ}$ . The inorg. salts precipitated and were filtered off. The soln. was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), concentrated, and subjected to CC (SiO<sub>2</sub> ( $1 \times 20$  cm); AcOEt/EtOH 2:1). The first fraction consisted of 46 mg (37%) of 4,5-didehydro-3,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2H-thiocine 1,1-dioxide (17). Colorless crystals. M.p. 113°. The second fraction consisted of 48 mg (43%) of 4,5-didehydro-3,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2H-thiocine 1-oxide (rac-16). Colorless crystals. M.p.  $56^\circ$ .

*Data of* rac-16. IR (KBr): 1010 (S=O), 2240 (C $\equiv$ C). <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: see the *Table*. EI-MS: 142  $(54, M<sup>+</sup>)$ , 91 (48), 79 (75), 77 (100). Anal. calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>10</sub>OS (142.2): C 59,12, H 7.09, S 22.54; found: C 59.23, H 7.11, S 22.50.

*Data of* **17.** IR (KBr): 1110 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 1275, 1310 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 2260 (C $\equiv$ C). <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: see the *Table*. EI-MS: 158 (100,  $M^+$ ), 117 (57), 83 (100). Anal. calc. for  $C_7H_{10}O_2S$  (158.2): C 53.14, H 6.37; found: C 53.03, H 6.28.

Alkaline Fragmentation of rac-14). To rac-14 (250 mg, 1.0 mmol) in 10 ml of dry THF, 0.6 ml  $(1.5 \text{ mmol})$  of a 15% soln. of BuLi in hexane was added at  $-70^{\circ}$ . After 10 min, the reaction was quenched by addition of 1.2 ml of MeOH and 1.2 ml of H<sub>2</sub>O. Extraction with CHCl<sub>3</sub> at r.t. gave a yellow

soln., which was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), concentrated, and purified by CC (SiO<sub>2</sub> (1  $\times$  20 cm); AcOEt/EtOH 2 : 1). The first fraction consisted of rac-18 (yield 60 mg (21%), yellow oil), and the second fraction of rac-16 (yield 11.5 mg (8%)).

rac-(5E)-6-(Butylselanyl)-3,4,7,8-tetrahydro-2H-thiocine 1-Oxide (rac-18). IR (neat):  $1025$  (S=O).  ${}^{1}H\text{-NMR (CDCl}_{3})$ : 5.62 (t,  ${}^{3}J = 9.0$ , H-C(5)); 3.34 – 3.25 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>(8)); 3.25 – 3.15 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>(2));  $3.00 - 2.89 \ (m, 1 \text{ H each, CH}_2(7,8))$ ;  $2.80 - 2.73 \ (m, 1 \text{ H, CH}_2(2))$ ;  $2.67 \ (t, 3J = 7.5, \ a \text{-CH}_2)$ ;  $2.60 - 2.52 \ (m, 1 \text{ H, CH}_2(7,8))$ ;  $2.80 - 2.73 \ (m, 1 \text{ H, CH}_2(2))$ ;  $2.67 \ (t, 3J = 7.5, \ a \text{-CH}_2)$ ;  $2.60 - 2.52 \ (m, 1 \text{ H, CH}_2(7,8))$ ; 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>(7)); 2.44 – 2.33 (m, 1 H of CH<sub>2</sub>(4)); 2.20 – 2.08 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)); 2.02 – 1.91 (m, 1 H, CH<sub>2</sub>(3)); 1.62 (quint.,  $\frac{3J}{7}$  = 7.5, β-CH<sub>2</sub>); 1.38 (sext.,  $\frac{3J}{7}$  = 7.8, γ-CH<sub>2</sub>); 0.90 (t,  $\frac{3J}{7}$  = 7.8, Me). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 130.9  $(C(6))$ ; 128.8  $(C(5))$ ; 52.9, 51.4  $(C(2,8))$ ; 31.9, 27.2, 25.3, 25.1, 23.0, 23.0 (other CH<sub>2</sub>); 13.5 (Me). EI-MS: 280 (3,  $M^+$ , Se pattern), 143 (100,  $[M - C_4H_9]^+$ ). Anal. calc. for  $C_{11}H_{20}$ OSSe (279.3): C 47.30, H 7.22; found: C 47.43, H 7.12.

Reaction of 9, rac-16, and 17 with 2,3,4,5-Tetraphenylcyclopenta-2,4-dien-1-one (19). Alkyne 9, rac-16 or 17 (0.20 mmol), and 19 (154 mg, 0.40 mmol) were stirred overnight at r.t. in 4 ml of toluene. The violet soln. was concentrated and purified by CC (SiO<sub>2</sub> (1  $\times$  60 cm); toluene for **20**, AcOEt/EtOH 1:1 for 21, and CHCl $_2$  for 22).

1,4,5,6-Tetrahydro-7,8,9,10-tetraphenyl-2H-3-benzothiocine (20). Yield: 45 mg (46%). Colorless crystals. M.p. 224°. IR (KBr): 700, 740. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.19–7.00 (*m*, 10 arom. H); 6.80–6.66 (*m*, 10 arom. H);  $3.10 - 2.98$  (m, CH<sub>2</sub>(1,6));  $2.68 - 2.61$ ,  $2.60 - 2.50$  (2m, CH<sub>2</sub>(2,4));  $1.65 - 1.56$  (m, CH<sub>2</sub>(5)).  $13C-NMR$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 141.2, 141.2, 141.1, 141.0, 140.7, 140.6, 139.9, 139.6, 137.7, 137.2 (arom. C<sub>a</sub>); 131.1, 130.7, 130.4, 127.4, 127.2, 126.3, 126.1, 126.0, 125.0 (arom. CH, partly superimposed); 35.1, 33.9, 32.5, 31.0, 27.5 (aliph. CH<sub>2</sub>). EI-MS:  $482 (89, M<sup>+</sup>)$ ,  $440 (100)$ . Anal. calc. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>30</sub>S: C 87.09, H 6.26; found: C 87.21, H 6.52.

1,4,5,6-Tetrahydro-7,8,9,10-tetraphenyl-2H-3-benzothiocine 3-Oxide (21). Yield 59 mg (59%). Colorless crystals. M.p. 227°. IR (KBr): 700, 740, 1025 (S=O). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.34–6.97 (*m*, 10 arom. H); 6.82 – 6.66  $(m, 10 \text{ atom. H})$ ; 3.31 – 3.15, 3.08 – 2.85, 2.81 – 2.63  $(3m, CH_2(1,2,4,6))$ ; 1.97 – 1.79  $(m, CH_2(5))$ . <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 141.5, 141.4, 140.8, 140.4, 140.3, 140.2, 140.1, 140.1, 135.7, 135.4 (arom. C<sub>0</sub>); 130.9, 130.3, 130.2, 130.1, 127.7, 127.5, 127.3, 126.5, 126.4, 126.3, 125.2 (arom. CH, partly superimposed); 58.3, 55.3 (C(2,4)); 28.5, 23.5, 22.8 (C(1,5,6)). EI-MS: 498 (97,  $M^{+}$ ), 407 (100). Anal. calc. for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>30</sub>OS (498.7): C 84.30, H 6.06; found: C 84.05, H 6.05.

1,4,5,6-Tetrahydro-7,8,9,10-tetraphenyl-2H-3-benzothiocine 3,3-Dioxide (22). Yield: 87 mg (84%). Colorless crystals. M.p. 255°. IR (KBr): 700, 740, 1120 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 1280 (SO<sub>2</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.21 – 7.00  $(m, 10 \text{ atom. H}); 6.83 - 6.68 \ (m, 10 \text{ atom. H}); 3.15 - 2.97 \ (m, CH<sub>2</sub>(1,2,4,6)); 1.71 - 1.67 \ (m, CH<sub>2</sub>(5)).$ <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 141.6, 141.5, 141.0, 140.4, 140.2, 140.1, 140.0, 139.9, 135.5, 135.2 (arom. C<sub>q</sub>); 130.8, 130.2, 129.9, 127.8, 127.5, 126.7, 126.5, 125.3 (arom. CH, partly superimposed); 58.7, 52.5 (C(2,4)); 27.1, 24.6, 22.6 (C(1,5,6)). EI-MS: 514 (43, M<sup>+</sup>), 349 (56), 348 (50), 347 (100). Anal. calc. for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S (514.7): C 81.68, H 5.87; found: C 81.51, H 5.68.

Reaction of 9, rac-16, and 17 with  $\text{CH}_2\text{N}_2$ . To 0.50 mmol of the 9, rac-16, or 17, dissolved in 10 ml of dry Et<sub>2</sub>O, a 0.1 m soln. of CH<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> in Et<sub>2</sub>O (ca. 10 ml, ca. 1.0 mmol) was added. The yellow soln. was stirred for 24 h, and then the excess  $CH_2N_2$  was removed by passing compressed air through the flask (the air is then introduced to a trap with aq. HCl). The remaining soln. was concentrated, and the product was purified by CC (SiO<sub>2</sub> (2  $\times$  38 cm); Et<sub>2</sub>O/petroleum ether (b.p. 40 – 70°) 3 : 1). The separation of the regioisomeric cycloadducts 23/24, rac-25/rac-26, and 27/28 by CC was not successful. The correlation of the NMR signals was based on NOE experiments and <sup>1</sup>H,<sup>1</sup>H-COSY 45 spectra.

1,4,5,7,8,9-Hexahydrothiocino[5,4-c]pyrazole (23)/1,4,5,6,8,9-Hexahydrothiocino[4,5-c]pyrazole  $(24)$ . Yield: 49 mg (58%). Colorless crystals. M.p. 96°. Ratio  $23/24$  according to the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR signals 60:40. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of **23**: 7.25 (s, H–C(3)); 3.04 – 2.99 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>(9)); 2.88 – 2.85 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)); 2.67 – 2.63 (*m*, CH<sub>2</sub>(5)); 2.47 – 2.43 (*m*, CH<sub>2</sub>(7)); 1.89 – 1.81 (*m*, CH<sub>2</sub>(8)). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of **24**: 7.28 (*s*,  $\text{H--C}(3)$ ); 3.07–3.03 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>(9)); 2.76–2.81 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)); 2.71–2.67 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>(8)); 2.43–2.39 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>(6)); 1.78 – 1.70 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>(5)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of **23**: 144.8 (C(9a)); 133.4 (C(3a)); 118.1 (C(3)); 37.3, 31.4, 31.2, 28.0, 21.3 (C(4,5,7,8,9)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of **24**: 146.4 (C(9a)); 132.4 (C(3a)); 116.9  $(C(3))$ ; 35.4, 32.4, 31.5, 30.1, 19.2  $(C(4,5,6,8,9))$ . EI-MS: 168 (100, M<sup>+</sup>), 121 (39), 107 (50), 94 (84). Anal. calc. for  $C_8H_{12}N_2S$  (168.3): C 57.11, H 7.19, N 16.65; found: C 57.00, H 7.18, N 16.68.

rac-1,4,5,7,8,9-Hexahydrothiocino[5,4-c]pyrazole 6-Oxide (25)/rac-1,4,5,6,8,9-Hexahydrothiocino[4,5-c]pyrazole 7-Oxide (26). Yield:  $45 \text{ mg}$  (49%). Viscous oil. Ratio 25/26 according to the  ${}^{1}$ H-NMR signals 50:50. IR (neat): 1010 (S=O).  ${}^{1}$ H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.35 (s, H–C(3)) and 7.25 (s, H-C(3)); 3.32 – 2.95 (m, 9 H), 2.92 – 2.78 (m, 2 H), 2.73 – 2.62 (m, 4 H), 2.52 – 2.42 (m, 1 H), 2.21 – 2.05  $(m, 3 H), 2.04-1.93$   $(m, 1 H).$  <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 145.3, 144.6 (C(9a)); 132.5, 130.9 (C(3a)); 115.6, 115.5  $(C(3))$ ; 55.8, 54.0, 51.5, 51.2  $(C(5,7)$  of 25 and  $C(6,8)$  of 26); 25.0, 23.6, 23.2, 21.1, 18.2, 16.0  $(C(4,8,9)$  of **25** and  $C(4,5,9)$  of **26**). EI-MS: 184 (22,  $M^+$ ), 108 (12), 85 (66), 84 (21), 83 (100). Anal. calc. for  $C_8H_{12}N_2OS$  (184.3): C 52.15, H 6.56, N 15.20; found: C 52.40, H 6.85, N 15.17.

1,4,5,7,8,9-Hexahydrothiocino[5,4-c]pyrazole 6,6-Dioxide (27)/1,4,5,6,8,9-hexahydrothiocino[4,5 c]pyrazole 7,7-Dioxide (28). Yield 38 mg (38%). Viscous oil. Ratio 27/28 according to the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR signals 25:75. IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 1105 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 1270 (SO<sub>2</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, **27**): 7.40 (s, H–C(3)); 3.21 – 3.14,  $3.02 - 2.90$ ,  $2.03 - 2.01$   $(3m, \text{CH}_2(4,5,7,8,9))$ . <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, **28**): 7.32  $(s, \text{H}-\text{C}(3))$ , 3.28 – 3.23, 3.21 – 3.14, 2.96 – 2.88, 2.83 – 2.77, 2.01 – 1.93 (5m, CH<sub>2</sub>(4,5,6,8,9)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, **27**): 145.2 (C(9a)); 130.2 (C(3a)); 116.1 (C(3)); 59.7, 52.9 (C(5,7)); 23.1, 21.4, 18.2 (C(4,8,9)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, **28**): 147.0  $(C(9a))$ ; 129.8  $(C(3a))$ ; 115.9  $(C(3))$ ; 58.2, 52.5  $(C(6,8))$ ; 24.5, 20.8, 19.1  $(C(4,5,9))$ . EI-MS: 200  $(1, M<sup>+</sup>)$ , 84 (93), 66 (100). Anal. calc. for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S (200.3): C 47.98, H 6.04, N 13.99; found: C 47.88, H 6.30, N 14.02.

Reaction of the 9 or rac-16 with  $CS_2$ . Alkyne (1.0 mmol) and  $CS_2$  (4 ml, 5.08 g, 66.7 mmol) were refluxed under  $N_2$  for 12–15 d. The colorless soln. turned orange and then redbrown. The soln. was concentrated, and the products were purified by CC (SiO<sub>2</sub>  $(3 \times 40 \text{ cm})$ ; toluene/petroleum ether (b.p.  $40-70^{\circ}$ ) 2:1 for 29 and AcOEt/EtOH 1:1 for product 30).

(2Z/E)-4,7,8,9-Tetrahydro-2-(4,7,8,9-tetrahydro-5H-1,3-dithiolo[4,5-d]thiocin-2-ylidene)-5H-1,3-dithiolo[4,5-d]thiocine; 29). Yield: 53 mg (13%). Red-orange crystals. M.p. 195°. IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1270, 1445. 1 H-NMR (CDCl3): 2.75 – 2.63 (m, CH2(4,5,7,9,4',5',7',9')); 1.91 – 1.81 (m, CH2(8,8')). 13C-NMR (CDCl3): 128.8, 128.7 (C(3a,9a,3a,9a)); 108.2 (C(2,2')); 34.7, 32.0, 31.4, 31.1, 25.1 (C(4,5,7,8,9,4',5',7',8',9')). EI-MS: 404 (100,  $M^+$ ), 202 (4,  $M^{2+}$ ). Anal. calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>S<sub>6</sub> (404.7): C 47.49, H 4.98; found: C 47.39, H 4.89. As second fraction, a dark-red solid  $C_{23}H_{30}S_7(85 \text{ mg}, 16\%)$  was obtained. The structure was not determined.

syn-(2Z/E)- and anti-(2Z/E)-4,7,8,9-Tetrahydro-2-(4,7,8,9-tetrahydro-6-oxido-5H-1,3-dithiolo[4,5 d]thiocin-2-ylidene)-5H-1,3-dithiolo[4,5-d]thiocine 6-Oxide; 30). Yield: 179 mg (41%). Red-orange solid. M.p. 204°. IR (KBr): 1010, 1445, 1625. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.30–3.19 (*m*, 2 H); 3.19–3.07 (*m*, 4 H);  $3.00 - 2.89$  (m, 2 H);  $2.88 - 2.73$  (m, 4 H);  $2.55 - 2.45$  (m, 2 H);  $2.42 - 2.30$  (m, 2 H);  $2.26 - 2.20$  (m, 2 H); 2.20 - 2.13 (m, 2 H) (CH<sub>2</sub> groups). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 129.0, 129.0, 127.3, 127.2 (C(3a,9a,3a',9a')); 108.3, 108.2 (C(2,2')); 52.6, 52.6, 47.7, 47.6, 47.4, 47.3 (C(5,7,5',7')); 26.5, 26.4, 23.0, 22.8, 18.9, 18.8  $(C(4,8,9,4',8',9'))$ . EI-MS: 436 (30, M<sup>+</sup>), 142 (100), 97 (56), 85 (49), 72 (58), 71 (84). Anal. calc. for  $C_{16}H_{20}O_2S_6$  (436.7): C 44.01, H 4.62, S 44.05; found: C 43.89, H 4.61, S 43.87.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] J. Tummatorn, P. Batsomboon, R. J. Clark, I. V. Alabugin, G. B. Dudly, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 2093.
- [2] W. Chen, D. Wang, C. Dai, D. Hamelberg, B. Wang, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1736.
- [3] K. Banert, O. Plefka, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 6295; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6171.
- [4] V. A. D'yakonov, R. A. Tuktarova, L. M. Khalilov, U. M. Dzhemilev, Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 4602.
- [5] M. F. Debets, S. S. van Berkel, J. Dommerholt, A. J. Dirks, F. P. J. T. Rutjes, F. L. van Delft, Accounts Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 805.
- [6] J. Moran, C. S. McKay, J. P. Pezacki, Can. J. Chem. 2011, 89, 148.
- [7] B. C. Sanders, F. Friscourt, P. A. Ledin, N. E. Mbua, S. Arumugam, J. Guo, T. J. Boltje, V. V. Popik, G.-J. Boons, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 949.
- [8] F. Starke, M. Walther, H.-J. Pietzsch, Arkivoc 2010, Part XI, 350.
- [9] E. M. Sletten, H. Nakamura, J. C. Jewett, C. R. Bertozzi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 11799.
- [10] J. C. Jewett, C. R. Bertozzi, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 1272.
- [11] M. F. Debets, S. S. van Berkel, S. Schoffelen, F. P. J. T. Rutjes, J. C. M. van Hest, F. L. van Delft, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 97.
- [12] S. C. Kornmayer, F. Rominger, R. Gleiter, Synthesis 2009, 2547.
- [13] F. Schoenebeck, D. H. Ess, G. O. Jones, K. N. Houk, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 8121.
- [14] J. A. Codelli, J. M. Baskin, N. J. Agard, C. R. Bertozzi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 11486.
- [15] R. van Geel, G. J. M. Pruijin, F. L. van Delft, W. C. Boelens, Bioconjugate Chem. 2012, 23, 392.
- [16] J.-L. Chaubard, C. Krishnamurthy, W. Yi, D. F. Smith, L. C. Hsieh-Wilson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 4489.
- [17] S. Brauch, M. Henze, B. Osswald, K. Naumann, L. A. Wessjohann, S. S. van Berkel, B. Westermann, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 958.
- [18] H. E. Bostic, M. D. Smith, A. A. Poloukhtine, V. V. Popik, M. D. Best, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1431.
- [19] J. Z. Yao, C. Uttamapinant, A. Poloukhtine, J. M. Baskin, J. A. Codelli, E. M. Sletten, C. R. Bertozzi, V. V. Popik, A. Y. Ting, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 3720.
- [20] M. Simon, U. Zangemeister-Wittke, A. Plückthun, Bioconjugate Chem. 2012, 23, 279.
- [21] S. Arumugam, J. Chin, R. Schirrmacher, V. V. Popik, A. P. Kostikov, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2011, 21, 6987.
- [22] M. Liong, M. Fernandez-Suarez, D. Issadore, C. Min, C. Tassa, T. Reiner, S. M. Fortune, M. Toner, H. Lee, R. Weissleder, Bioconjugate Chem. 2011, 22, 2390.
- [23] J. C. Jewett, C. R. Bertozzi, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5937.
- [24] E. M. Sletten, C. R. Bertozzi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 17570.
- [25] R. D. Carpenter, S. H. Hausner, J. L. Sutcliffe, ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2011, 2, 885.
- [26] V. Bouvet, M. Wuest, F. Wuest, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 7393.
- [27] L. S. Campbell-Verduyn, L. Mirfeizi, A. K. Schoonen, R. A. Dierckx, P. H. Elsinga, B. L. Feringa, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 11313; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11117.
- [28] Z. Cheng, D. R. Elias, N. P. Kamat, E. D. Johnston, A. Poloukhtine, V. Popik, D. A. Hammer, A. Tsourkas, Bioconjugate Chem. 2011, 22, 2021.
- [29] J. C. M. van Hest, L. A. Canalle, R. P. Brinhkuis, S. A. Meeuwissen, Polym. Prepr. (Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Polym. Chem.) 2011, 52, 415.
- [30] J. Xu, T. M. Filion, F. Prifti, J. Song, Chem. Asian J. 2011, 6, 2730.
- [31] D. Lisse, V. Wilkens, C. You, K. Busch, J. Piehler, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 9524; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9352.
- [32] C. Besanceney-Webler, H. Jiang, T. Zheng, L. Feng, D. Soriano del Amo, W. Wang, L. M. Klivansky, F. L. Marlow, Y. Liu, P. Wu, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 8201; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 8051.
- [33] T. Zheng, H. Jiang, M. Gros, D. Soriano del Amo, S. Sundaram, G. Lauvau, F. Marlow, Y. Liu, P. Stanley, P. Wu, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 4199; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4113.
- [34] Z. Hao, Y. Song, S. Lin, M. Yang, Y. Liang, J. Wang, P. R. Chen, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 4502. [35] J. Xu, F. Prifti, J. Song, Macromolecules 2011, 44, 2660.
- [36] L. A. Canalle, M. van der Knaap, M. Overhand, J. C. M. van Hest, Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2011, 32, 203.
- [37] A. Kuzmin, A. Poloukhtine, M. A. Wolfert, V. V. Popik, Bioconjugate Chem. 2010, 21, 2076.
- [38] X. Ning, R. P. Temming, J. Dommerholt, J. Guo, D. B. Ania, M. F. Debets, M. A. Wolfert, G.-J. Boons, F. L. van Delft, Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 3129; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 3065.
- [39] J. C. Jewett, E. M. Sletten, C. R. Bertozzi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3688.
- [40] K. Chenoweth, D. Chenoweth, W. A. Goddard III, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 5255.
- [41] E. M. Sletten, C. R. Bertozzi, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3097.
- [42] J.-T. Shin, S. Shin, C.-G. Cho, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, 45, 5857.
- [43] J. K. Crandoll, T. A. Ayers, J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 2993.
- [44] H. Meier, Advances in Strain in Organic Chemistry 1991, 1, 215.
- [45] H. Schuhmacher, H. Meier, Z. Naturforsch., B 1992, 47, 563.
- [46] H. Meier in M. Hesse, H. Meier, B. Zeeh, (S. Bienz, L. Bigler, T. Fox) 'Spektroskopische Methoden in der organischen Chemie, 8th edn, Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 2012, pp. 161 – 163.
- [47] R. Csuk, B. I. Glänzer, in 'Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry II', Ed. A. R. Katritzky, C. W. Rees, E. F. V. Scriven, I. Shinkai, Elsevier, Oxford, 1996, Vol. 3, p. 651.
- [48] A. Gorgues, P. Hudhomme, M. Sallé, Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 5151.
- [49] E. Fanghänel, L. Van Hinh, G. Schukat, A. Herrmann, J. Prakt. Chem. 1996, 338, 523.
- [50] N. Avarvari, Actualité Chimique 2009, 333, 18.
- [51] J. L. Segura, N. Martin, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113,1416; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1372.
- [52] H. Meier, E. Stavridou, C. Storek, Angew. Chem. 1986, 98, 838; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1986, 25, 809.
- [53] E. Stavridou, H. Schuhmacher, H. Meier, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1989, 435.
- [54] N. J. Leonard, C. R. Johnson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 3701.
- [55] N. J. Leonard, T. W. Milligan, T. L. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 4075.

Received May 16, 2012